

## Cambridge East Area Action Plan – Issues to be brought back to Council

Relates to Agenda Item 5 / Appendix B of report to Council meeting of 22 November 2005

Agenda page	Policy / Para	Issue raised at Council	Officer Recommendation
310	CE/13 (2)	Council agreed that officers develop a form of words to ensure that the AAP makes clear that specific requirements will be needed on the A14 for certain levels of Northstowe development to come forward. A similar clause in Cambridge East AAP should also be considered for consistency.	<p>A technical amendment is recommended to ensure the consistency of wording between the Cambridge East and Northstowe AAPs with regards to the A14 Improvements.</p> <p>Reword CE/13(2) as follows:</p> <p>“Planning permission for Cambridge East will be subject to conditions requiring that sufficient highway capacity is available in the A14 corridor throughout the development of Cambridge East for the traffic forecast to be generated by each phase of development and ultimately for 10,000-12,000 dwellings. Such conditions (which may include ‘Grampian’ style conditions*) will link the start and phased development of the urban quarter to the opening of any necessary improvements to the A14 corridor.”</p>
318	D7.30	A Member requested the inclusion of some additional wording relating to the integration of car parking into new high density housing developments.	<p>Add a new sentence after the first sentence:</p> <p>“Development at higher densities may require more innovative design to incorporate off-street car parking, for example through integrating garages within the footprint of dwellings and underground parking.”</p>
358	CE/24 (7m)	Council asked for the proposed change to the access standard to Local Areas of Plan (LAPs) from	Early joint working with the South Cambridgeshire Community Services team on a Recreation and Community SPD for the district has been undertaken to examine delivery of play spaces. It has become apparent that the 60m requirement would result in a large

Agenda page	Policy / Para	Issue raised at Council	Officer Recommendation
		60m to 100m to be brought back to Council on 9 December with further explanation for the change.	number of individual small LAPs. The slightly higher distance threshold proposed would provide the same amount of recreation space overall but in the form of slightly fewer but larger, more usable areas, and have consequential benefits for future management and maintenance, whilst the impact on accessibility is limited.